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## Update to the 2022 Annual Report

After the 2022 TAC setting process was finalized by the Lake Erie Committee, Ohio biologists discovered an error in the sport fishery effort data provided to the Walleye Task Group. These data are used in the Walleye Task Group's statistical catch-at-age model to estimate the adult Walleye population abundance and ultimately generate a recommended allowable harvest for consideration by the Lake Erie Committee. The statistical catch-at-age model was run again using the updated effort data to generate new estimates of population abundance and recommended allowable harvest. The revised estimate for Ohio's sport fishery effort was 4.162 million angler hours (compared to 3.167 million angler hours used in setting the 2022 total allowable catch). This change in angler effort resulted in a small decrease in the 2022 projected abundance, from 75.7 million Walleye in the original abundance estimate compared to 74.4 million Walleye in the updated abundance estimate. There was also a slight decrease in the mean recommended allowable harvest from 14.5 million Walleye to 14.2 million Walleye. The information provided in this report has been amended to reflect corrected data and do not represent the values used in the 2022 TAC setting process. Constraints on the upcoming 2023 TAC setting will be based on the 2022 TAC of 14.533 million Walleye.

## Charges to the Walleye Task Group, 2021-2022

The charges from the Lake Erie Committee's (LEC) Standing Technical Committee (STC) to the Walleye Task Group (WTG) for the period of April 2021 to March 2022 were to:

1. Maintain and update the centralized time series of datasets:
a. Required for bi-national population models and assessment and
b. Produce the annual Recommended Allowable Harvest (RAH)
2. Support LEC Walleye management efforts by:
a. Maintain working knowledge of the most current academic and agency research related to Lake Erie Walleye population assessment and modeling including estimating and forecasting:

- Abundance
- Age/size/spatial stock structure (migration rates)
- Recruitment and mortality (M)
b. Provide critical evaluation and guidance for incorporating new research into Lake Erie Walleye management to produce the most scientifically sound and reliable population models.
c. Support analysis and review of Walleye Management Plan and assessment models for potential 2024 renewal.


## Review of Walleye Fisheries in 2021

## 2021 fishery performance and characteristics

Fishery effort and Walleye harvest data were combined for all fisheries, jurisdictions and Management Units (MUs) (Figure 1) to produce lake-wide summaries. The 2021 total estimated lake-wide harvest was 7.973 million Walleye (Table 1), of which 7.611 million were harvested in the total allowable catch (TAC) area. This TAC-area harvest represents $62 \%$ of the 2021 TAC ( 12.284 million Walleye) and includes Walleye harvested in commercial and sport fisheries in MUs 1-3. An additional 0.362 million Walleye ( $5 \%$ of the lake-wide total) were harvested outside of the TAC area in MUs $4 \& 5$ (Table 1). The
estimated sport Walleye harvest was 2.944 million fish in 2021; harvest in 2021 was above the longterm mean (1975-2020 $=2.297$ million Walleye; Table 2).

The 2021 Ontario commercial harvest was 5.042 million Walleye lake-wide, with 4.869 million caught in the TAC area (Table 2). The 2021 Ontario angler estimates of harvest and effort were derived from the 2014 lake-wide aerial creel survey because angler creel surveys are not conducted annually in Ontario waters. It assumes 71,000 Walleye were harvested in Ontario within the TAC area during 2021, which is included in total Walleye harvest, but not used in catch-at-age analysis. Total harvest of Walleye in Ontario TAC waters was 4.941 million Walleye, representing $93 \%$ of Ontario's 2021 TAC allocation of 5.289 million Walleye. In 2021, the lake-wide Ontario commercial harvest was above the long-term average ( $1976-2020=2.144$ million Walleye; Table 2, Figure 2). Similarly, lake-wide harvest was well above the current Walleye Management Plan's performance metric of at least 4.0 million pounds of commercial yield (2021 commercial harvest = 11.5 million pounds).

Lake-wide sport fishing effort increased in 2021 to 4.928 million angler hours (Table 3, Figure 3). Sport effort increased in MU1, but declined in MUs 2-5 (Table 3, Figure 4). The 2021 lake-wide average sport harvest per unit effort (HUE) remained stable at 0.58 Walleye/angler hour and remained above both the long-term (1975-2020) average of 0.45 Walleye/angler hour and the current Walleye Management Plan's performance metric of 0.40 Walleye/angler hour (Table 4, Figure 5). In 2021, the sport HUE remained above long-term averages in all MUs (Table 4).

The total commercial gill net HUE increased in 2021 (277 Walleye/kilometer of gill net) and remained above the long-term (1976-2020) lake-wide average (128 Walleye/kilometer of net; Table 4, Figure 5). Commercial gill net harvest rates increased in all areas of Lake Erie, with all MUs' HUE well above the long-term averages (Table 4).

Lake-wide harvest in the commercial fishery was composed of age 6 Walleye from the 2015 (36\%) year class, along with large contributions from age 2 and age 3 Walleye from the 2019 (27\%) and 2018 ( $22 \%$ ) year classes, respectively (Table 5; Table 6). The mean age of fish caught in the 2021 commercial fishery has remained steady since 2019 and was near long-term average (Table 7, Figure 6 ). Age composition of the lake-wide sport harvest was more varied, with age 3 Walleye (29\%; 2018 year class) and age 6 Walleye ( $23 \%$; 2015 year class) making the largest contributions, with age 4 ( $16 \% ; 2017$ year class) and age 5 ( $17 \% ; 2016$ year class) fish also contributing to the sport fishery (Table 6, Figure 6).

## Statistical Catch-at-Age Analysis (SCAA): Abundance

The WTG uses a SCAA model to estimate the abundance of Walleye in Lake Erie from 1978 to 2021. This model estimates population abundance of age 2 and older Walleye using fishery-dependent and fishery-independent data sources, which includes fishery-dependent data from the Ontario commercial fishery (MU 1-3) and sport fisheries in Ohio (MU 1-3) and Michigan (MU1), along with data collected from three fishery-independent gill net surveys (i.e., Ontario Partnership, Michigan, and Ohio).

## Summary of 2022 SCAA model results

Based on the 2022 SCAA model, the 2021 west-central population (MU1-3) was estimated at 82.0 million age 2 and older Walleye (Table 8, Figure 7). An estimated 37.6 million age 2 ( 2019 year class) and 18.0 million age 3 (2018 year class) were the most abundant year classes, with age 6 (2015 year class) remained abundant at 15.5 million fish. The number of age 2 recruits entering the population in 2022 (2020 year class) and 2023 (2021 year class) are projected to be 20.8 and 58.2 million Walleye, respectively (Table 9). The 2022 abundance of age 2 and older Walleye in the west-central population is projected to be 74.4 million fish (Table 8; Figure 7).

## Harvest Policy and Recommended Allowable Harvest (RAH) for 2022

In March 2022, the WTG applied the following Harvest Control Rules as identified in the Walleye Management Plan (WMP; 2015-2024):

- Target Fishing Mortality of $60 \%$ of the fishing mortality Maximum Sustainable Yield ( $60 \% \mathrm{~F}_{\text {msy }}$ );
- Threshold Limit Reference Point of $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ of the Unfished Spawning Stock Biomass ( $20 \% \mathrm{SSB}_{0}$ );
- Probabilistic Control Rule, P-star, $\mathrm{P}^{*}=\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$;
- A limitation on the annual change in TAC of $\pm \mathbf{2 0 \%}$.

Using results from the 2022 SCAA model, the estimated abundance of 74.429 million age-2 and older Walleye in 2022, and the harvest policy described above, the calculated mean RAH for 2022 was 14.245 million Walleye, with a range from 11.259 (minimum) to 17.231 (maximum) million Walleye (Table 9). The WTG RAH range estimate is an AD Model Builder (ADMB, Fournier et al. 2012) generated value based on estimating $\pm$ one standard deviation of the mean RAH. AD Model Builder uses a statistical technique called the delta method to determine this standard deviation for the calculated RAH, incorporating the standard errors from abundance estimates at age and combined gear selectivity at age. The target fishing rate $\left(60 \% \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}=0.333\right)$ in the harvest policy was applied because the probability of the projected spawner biomass in 2023 ( 63.952 million kg ) falling below the limit reference point ( $20 \% \mathrm{SSB}_{0}=12.410$ million kg ) after fishing at $60 \% \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ms }}$ in 2022 was less than $5 \%$ ( $p<0.001$ ). Thus, the probabilistic control rule ( $\mathrm{P}^{*}$ ) to reduce the target fishing rate and conserve spawner biomass was not invoked during the 2022 determination of RAH.

In addition to the RAH, the Harvest Control Rule adopted by LEPMAG limits the annual change in TAC to $\pm 20 \%$ of the previous year's TAC. According to this rule, the maximum change would be + or $-20 \%$ of the 2021 TAC ( 12.284 million fish), and the range in 2022 TAC for LEC consideration ranges from 9.827 to 14.741 million Walleye.

## Other Walleye Task Group Activities

The following represents WTG progress and developments on Charge 2a and 2b. During 2021-2022, this work focused on (1) Movements, Migrations and Spatial Ecology, (2) Stock Structure, (3) Recruitment.

## Movements, Migration and Spatial Ecology

Since 2011, WTG members have participated collaboratively in several Great Lakes Acoustic Telemetry Observation System (GLATOS; https://glatos.glos.us/) studies across Lake Erie. Insights from this ongoing work help to inform an evolving understanding of such things as stock contributions to mixed fisheries (see Stock Structure, below), spawning site fidelity, rates of skipped spawning, and spawning phenology. WTG members, together with colleagues from the University of Windsor, Michigan State University, USGS, and Ohio State University, anticipate publishing manuscripts dealing with seasonal occupancy of Walleye by basin, along with spawning site fidelity and phenology in the coming year.

## Stock structure

In recent years there has been an effort to improve our understanding of Walleye stock structure at the lake-wide scale to inform future iterations of the Walleye management plan. One of the major information gaps associated with Walleye stock structure is how western and eastern basin stocks interact to influence fisheries and survey results in the eastern basin.

Previous attempts to use genetic approaches to determine relative stock contributions to mixed fisheries in Lake Erie have been confounded by an inability to discriminate between individual spawning aggregations due to weak spawning stock genetic structure. By focusing on more coarse stock structure using next-generation sequencing technologies (i.e., RAD sequencing; Rapture Panels) it has recently become possible to accurately discriminate stock structure at a basin-level scale. Individual fish can be accurately assigned to basin of origin with 89-99\% accuracy. This coarser level of discrimination was sufficient to address questions about local and migratory (western and central basin) stock contributions to eastern basin fisheries.

Walleye Task Group members, together with colleagues from the University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point, Ohio State University and the Aquatic Research and Development Section of OMNDNRF used these techniques to assign proportional contributions by basin (eastern or central/western), to the commercial and sport fisheries of the eastern basin in 2017, with some inclusion of the 2016 and 2018 fisheries (Euclide et al, 2020). Previous tagging studies demonstrated that western origin fish contribute significantly to eastern fisheries. This work largely confirmed that finding, while also quantifying annual, seasonal, and spatial variability in these contributions. In fact, eastern basin Walleye stocks can have a larger influence on eastern basin fisheries than previously thought and likely contribute substantially to harvest during particular times and in particular locals.

In general, the largest contributions of western stocks to eastern fisheries occur between July and September. However, July contributions varied between 20\% (2016) and 90\% (2018).
Western basin stocks constituted most of the harvest during the peak Walleye fishing season (July September), whereas eastern basin individuals comprised much of the early season harvest (May June). Furthermore, catches in more easterly sites contained more individuals of eastern basin origin than did more westerly sites. Notable differences were found between the commercial fishery in Ontario waters and the sport fishery in New York waters.

The results to date indicate that periodic reassessments of stock contributions to the harvest are likely necessary to characterize longer-term spatio-temporal variation in relative stock contributions. Contributions under current conditions of high lake-wide population abundance may be particularly informative and ultimately this work is expected to inform management decisions and facilitate incorporation of the eastern basin into the lake-wide management framework.

In 2021, both eastern fisheries were re-sampled with the intent of using the same genetic techniques to again examine contributions from the basin level categories. Ontario's commercial gillnet fishery was sampled extensively enough to examine harvest from the targeted Walleye fishery as well as that caught incidentally in the targeted Yellow Perch fishery. Commercial fishery samples were collected from May through December. New York's sport fishery was sampled extensively during June and July. All samples were sent to the OMNDMNRF Aquatic Genetics lab in Peterborough where they were genotyped using a GTseq panel of 500 SNPs developed for walleye in the Great Lakes (Euclide et al. 2021b). Source assignment and mixed-stock analyses are underway (March 2022).

Additionally, in 2021 task group members opportunistically collected young-of-year Walleye from a variety of assessment programs across all basins. Plans are underway to use similar basin-scale genetic assignments in 2022 to gain insight into the extent of dispersal from basins of origin and potentially to spotlight unique signatures where small but unique stocks might exist.

## Recruitment

Evidence of multiple Walleye stocks in Lake Erie exists, with decreasing stock productivity from west to east. However, migrations and mixing of stocks throughout the lake make evaluation of individual stock productivity difficult. For example, adult Walleye from western basin spawning grounds in the spring migrate to the cooler waters of the central and eastern basins in the summer, and then return to the west basin before spawning. While juvenile Walleye from both the western and eastern basin are believed to disperse from natal basins during the summer and fall, it is unknown if their migrations are similar to those of adults. To address uncertainty surrounding juvenile dispersal and productivity of Walleye stocks across Lake Erie, the WTG has reported basin-specific densities of yearling Walleye with standardized gill net indices since 2011 (WTG 2012).

In Figure 8, site-specific yearling Walleye catches are presented for the bottom set interagency (ON, NY) monofilament nets; suspended (canned or kegged) Ohio monofilament nets; suspended Michigan multifilament nets; and suspended Ontario monofilament nets fished in 2021. Catches were standardized for net length ( 50 ft [ 15.2 m ] panels) of mesh sizes $\leq 5.5$ " ( 140 mm ) but correction factors were not applied to standardize fishing power between monofilament and multifilament nets. New York and Ontario monofilament nets share the same configurations with the exception that Ontario nets contain 2 panels instead of the one $50 \mathrm{ft}(15.2 \mathrm{~m}$ ) panel for mesh sizes $\geq 2$ " ( 51 mm ). New York's index gill nets were fished exclusively on bottom and were confined to shallower depths than nets fished in Ontario's waters of eastern Lake Erie (Figure 8a). Catches in Ontario canned nets plotted include standard canned nets but excluded nets canned in the thermocline in the east basin and Pennsylvania Ridge surveys.

In 2021, yearling (2020 year class) Walleye catches occurred lake-wide where index nets were fished (Figures 8a and b). Yearlings were present in bottom and suspended nets and in nearshore and offshore areas. In the western basin interagency August trawl survey, yearling catch rates were $5^{\text {th }}$ highest in the time series ( $14.4 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{Ha}$ ). With few exceptions, most agency assessments ranked yearling walleye abundance in 2021 above their respective median catch rates. Based on Ontario's Partnership gillnet survey, yearlings were ranked $11^{\text {th }}$ in the west/central part of the lake and were $5^{\text {th }}$ highest in the east including the Pennsylvania Ridge the time series. New York gillnet survey yearling catches in the east were moderate, ranked as $63^{\text {rd }}$ percentile in the time series.

Similar to recent years, mean lengths of age $0(103 \mathrm{~mm})$ and age $1(212 \mathrm{~mm})$ Walleye from western basin interagency bottom trawls during August 2021 were below average (Figure 9). This trend of declining size was also observed in agency gill net surveys at ages 1 through 4 as Walleye showed decreased mean size at age in recent years (Figure 10). These changes are likely related to the increased abundance of Walleye, which has been driven by several large year classes since 2015. As these fish enter the fisheries in 2022 at smaller than usual sizes, the WTG expects to see an increased release rate in the sport fisheries (because anglers may encounter many sub-legal Walleye) and that these smaller fish will exhibit delayed vulnerability to commercial Walleye gill net fisheries.

Currently, the young-of-the-year (YOY) index from the interagency west basin bottom trawl survey (Table 10) is integrated into the SCAA model to estimate age-2 Walleye abundance and forecast recruitment. In 2021, the YOY catch rates (reported as the number of YOY Walleye caught per hectare trawled) were the highest in time series ( 345.6 fish per hectare; Table 10). Although the YOY catch at a single site was exceptionally high, this year class ranks as one of the strongest regardless of whether this catch was included. Indices throughout the lake varied in 2021; some of the 2021 YOY catch rates were also high in the central and eastern basin trawl and gill net surveys. While the interagency bottom trawl survey is a robust recruitment predictor, inclusion of additional YOY and yearling indices to form a composite recruitment index could supplement recruitment estimates. However, there are two factors limiting the integration of a composite recruitment index into the SCAA model:

1. Yearling indices are not available far enough in advance to forecast age-2 recruitment in the year following harvest, as required for assessing risk (i.e., P*) in the current Walleye Management Plan's probabilistic harvest control rule (Kayle et al. 2015). Options for overcoming this limitation would be exclusion of yearling indices from a composite recruitment index, running two integrated SCAA models (one with YOY and yearling data and the second model using only YOY data), or ignoring risk (i.e., removal of $\mathrm{P}^{*}$ ) from the Walleye Management Plan Harvest Policy. It is important to note that the two SCAA model options could result in conflicting abundance estimates.
2. Spatial, temporal, and gear type (bottom set vs. suspended gill nets) variability exist in Walleye YOY and yearling indices, along with inconsistencies in sampling intensity and effort. Previous examination of the available recruitment indices using a Principal Components Analysis (PCA) approach revealed challenges for integrating a composite recruitment index into the SCAA model (WTG 2016). Data transformations and missing years of data in some indices were primary concerns.

The WTG will continue to update the dataset of recruitment indices. However, composite Walleye recruitment indices will not be presented until concerns related to data transformations, missing years of data, and recent changes in index gear configuration are addressed. The WTG will also continue to explore and evaluate alternative recruitment estimation approaches to be considered for adoption in future Lake Erie Walleye Management Plans.

## WTG Centralized Datasets

WTG members currently manage several databases that consist of fishery-dependent and fisheryindependent surveys conducted by the respective agencies. Annually, data are compiled by WTG members to form spatially-explicit versions of agency-specific harvest data (e.g., harvest-at-age and fishery effort by management unit) and population assessment (e.g., the interagency trawl program and gill net surveys) databases. These databases are used for trends and status evaluations, estimating population abundance, and to inform the decision-making process regarding RAH. Ultimately, annual population abundance estimates are used to assist LEC members with setting TACs for the upcoming year and evaluate past harvest policy decisions. Use of WTG databases by non-members is only permitted following a specific protocol established in 1994, described in the 1994 WTG Report and reprinted in the 2003 WTG Report (WTG 2003).
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Table 1. Annual Lake Erie walleye total allowable catch (TAC, top) and measured harvest (Har; bottom, bold), in numbers of fish from 2011 to 2021. TAC allocations are based on water area: Ohio, $51.11 \%$; Ontario, $43.06 \%$; and Michigan, $5.83 \%$. New York and Pennsylvania do not have assigned quotas, but are included in annual total harvest.

| Year |  | TAC Area (MU-1, MU-2, MU-3) |  |  | Total | Non-TAC Area (MUs 4\&5) |  |  | Total | All Areas Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Michigan | Ohio | Ontario ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | NY | Penn. | Ontario |  |  |
| 2011 | TAC | 170,178 | 1,491,901 | 1,256,921 | 2,919,000 |  |  |  | 0 | 2,919,000 |
|  | Har | 50,490 | 417,314 | 1,224,057 | 1,691,861 | 31,506 | 45,369 | 28,873 | 105,748 | 1,797,609 |
| 2012 | TAC | 203,292 | 1,782,206 | 1,501,502 | 3,487,000 |  |  |  | 0 | 3,487,000 |
|  | Har | 86,658 | 921,390 | 1,355,522 | 2,363,570 | 36,975 | 44,796 | 28,260 | 110,031 | 2,473,601 |
| 2013 | TAC | 195,655 | 1,715,252 | 1,445,094 | 3,356,000 |  |  |  | 0 | 3,356,000 |
|  | Har | 54,167 | 1,083,395 | 1,274,945 | 2,412,507 | 34,553 | 60,332 | 30,591 | 125,476 | 2,537,983 |
| 2014 | TAC | 234,774 | 2,058,200 | 1,734,026 | 4,027,000 |  |  |  | 0 | 4,027,000 |
|  | Har | 42,142 | 1,303,133 | 1,324,201 | 2,669,476 | 61,982 | 84,843 | 52,675 | 199,500 | 2,868,977 |
| 2015 | TAC | 239,846 | 2,102,665 | 1,771,488 | 4,114,000 |  |  |  | 0 | 4,114,000 |
|  | Har | 65,740 | 1,073,263 | 1,382,600 | 2,521,603 | 55,201 | 46,523 | 89,882 | 191,606 | 2,713,209 |
| 2016 | TAC | 287,827 | 2,523,301 | 2,125,872 | 4,937,000 |  |  |  | 0 | 4,937,000 |
|  | Har | 65,816 | 855,820 | 1,959,573 | 2,881,209 | 50,963 | 32,937 | 112,743 | 196,643 | 3,077,852 |
| 2017 | TAC | 345,369 | 3,027,756 | 2,550,874 | 5,924,000 |  |  |  | 0 | 5,924,000 |
|  | Har | 56,938 | 1,261,327 | 3,232,817 | 4,551,082 | 70,010 | 162,949 | 129,217 | 362,176 | 4,913,258 |
| 2018 | TAC | 414,455 | 3,633,410 | 3,061,135 | 7,109,000 |  |  |  | 0 | 7,109,000 |
|  | Har | 176,089 | 1,972,295 | 3,478,713 | 5,627,097 | 123,503 | 270,189 | 263,204 | 656,896 | 6,283,993 |
| 2019 | TAC | 497,357 | 4,360,194 | 3,673,449 | 8,531,000 |  |  |  | 0 | 8,531,000 |
|  | Har | 153,171 | 2,558,359 | 3,362,053 | 6,073,583 | 174,466 | 419,975 | 229,466 | 823,907 | 6,897,490 |
| 2020 | TAC | 716,157 | 6,278,352 | 5,289,490 | 12,284,000 |  |  |  | 0 | 12,284,000 |
|  | Har | 191,490 | 1,973,038 | 3,680,335 | 5,844,863 | 84,615 | 208,760 | 243,175 | 536,550 | 6,381,413 |
| 2021 | TAC | 716,000 | 6,278,352 | 5,289,490 | 12,284,000 |  |  |  | 0 | 12,284,000 |
|  | Har | 177,948 | 2,492,386 | 4,940,829 | 7,611,163 | 43,772 | 145,261 | 173,205 | 362,238 | 7,973,401 |

[^0]These values are included in Ontario's total walleye harvest, but are not used in catch-at-age analysis.

Table 2. Annual harvest (thousands of fish) of Lake Erie walleye by gear, management unit, and agency from 2011 to 2021. Means contain data from 1975 to 2020.

| Year | Sport Fishery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Commercial Fishery |  |  |  |  | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unit 1 |  |  |  | Unit 2 |  |  | Unit 3 |  |  | Units 4 \& 5 |  |  |  | Total | Unit 1 ON | Unit 2 Unit 3 Unit 4 |  |  | Total |  |
|  | OH | MI | $\mathrm{ON}^{\text {a }}$ | Total | OH | $\mathrm{ON}^{\text {a }}$ | Total | OH | $\mathrm{ON}^{\text {a }}$ | Total | $\mathrm{ON}^{\text {a }}$ | PA | NY | Total |  |  | ON | ON | ON |  |  |
| 2011 | 224 | 50 | 44 | 318 | 104 | 2 | 106 | 89 | 0 | 90 | 2 | 45 | 32 | 79 | 593 | 736 | 262 | 181 | 29 | 1,208 | 1,801 |
| 2012 | 596 | 87 | 44 | 726 | 233 | 2 | 235 | 93 | 0 | 93 | 2 | 45 | 37 | 84 | 1,138 | 834 | 285 | 191 | 28 | 1,338 | 2,476 |
| 2013 | 757 | 54 | 44 | 855 | 190 | 2 | 192 | 136 | 0 | 136 | 2 | 60 | 35 | 97 | 1,280 | 737 | 297 | 195 | 31 | 1,260 | 2,540 |
| 2014 | 909 | 42 | 45 | 996 | 177 | 13 | 190 | 218 | 13 | 231 | 13 | 85 | 62 | 160 | 1,577 | 756 | 259 | 238 | 40 | 1,292 | 2,869 |
| 2015 | 746 | 66 | 45 | 857 | 187 | 13 | 200 | 140 | 13 | 153 | 13 | 47 | 55 | 115 | 1,325 | 633 | 354 | 325 | 77 | 1,388 | 2,713 |
| 2016 | 577 | 66 | 45 | 688 | 139 | 13 | 152 | 140 | 13 | 153 | 13 | 33 | 51 | 97 | 1,090 | 946 | 594 | 348 | 100 | 1,988 | 3,078 |
| 2017 | 592 | 57 | 45 | 694 | 316 | 13 | 330 | 353 | 13 | 367 | 13 | 163 | 70 | 246 | 1,636 | 1,735 | 918 | 508 | 116 | 3,277 | 4,913 |
| 2018 | 955 | 176 | 45 | 1,177 | 666 | 13 | 679 | 351 | 13 | 365 | 13 | 270 | 124 | 407 | 2,627 | 1,523 | 1,433 | 451 | 250 | 3,657 | 6,284 |
| 2019 | 1,297 | 153 | 45 | 1,495 | 947 | 13 | 960 | 314 | 13 | 328 | 13 | 420 | 174 | 607 | 3,391 | 1,666 | 1,237 | 387 | 217 | 3,507 | 6,897 |
| 2020 | 537 | 191 | 45 | 774 | 908 | 13 | 921 | 528 | 13 | 541 | 13 | 209 | 85 | 306 | 2,543 | 1,938 | 1,185 | 486 | 230 | 3,839 | 6,381 |
| 2021 | 1,318 | 178 | 45 | 1,541 | 810 | 13 | 824 | 364 | 13 | 377 | 13 | 145 | 44 | 202 | 2,944 | 2,750 | 1,375 | 745 | 173 | 5,042 | 7,986 |
| Mean | 1,433 | 245 | 41 | 1,719 | 305 | 10 | 312 | 187 | 12 | 196 | 9 | 98 | 47 | 91 | 2,297 | 1,386 | 501 | 304 | 66 | 2,144 | 4,441 |

Table 3. Annual fishing effort for Lake Erie walleye by gear, management unit, and agency from 2011 to 2021. Means contain data from 1975 to 2020.

| Year | Sport Fishery ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Commercial Fishery ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unit 1 |  |  |  | Unit 2 |  |  | Unit 3 |  |  | Units 4 \& 5 |  |  |  |  | Unit 1 ON | Unit 2 ON | Unit 3 Units 4\&5 |  | Total |
|  | OH | MI | $\mathrm{ON}^{\text {c }}$ | Total | OH | $\mathrm{ON}^{\text {c }}$ | Total | OH | $\mathrm{ON}^{\text {c }}$ | Total | $\mathrm{ON}^{\text {c }}$ | PA | NY | Total |  |  |  | ON | ON |  |
| 2011 | 862 | 165 | -- | 1,026 | 346 | -- | 346 | 217 | -- | 217 | -- | 156 | 145 | 301 | 1,891 | 2,646 | 1,884 | 1,572 | 489 | 6,591 |
| 2012 | 1,283 | 242 | -- | 1,525 | 560 | -- | 560 | 182 | -- | 182 | -- | 160 | 169 | 329 | 2,597 | 4,674 | 2,480 | 2,298 | 352 | 9,804 |
| 2013 | 1,424 | 182 | -- | 1,606 | 503 | -- | 503 | 236 | -- | 236 | -- | 154 | 143 | 297 | 2,641 | 3,802 | 2,774 | 2,624 | 304 | 9,503 |
| 2014 | 1,552 | 131 | 101 | 1,683 | 459 | 85 | 459 | 441 | 71 | 441 | 70 | 171 | 187 | 358 | 2,940 | 7,351 | 4,426 | 2,911 | 254 | 14,943 |
| 2015 | 1,430 | 165 | -- | 1,595 | 564 | -- | 564 | 341 | -- | 341 | -- | 162 | 215 | 377 | 2,876 | 6,980 | 6,487 | 5,379 | 792 | 19,637 |
| 2016 | 1,514 | 236 | -- | 1,750 | 439 | -- | 439 | 397 | -- | 397 | -- | 141 | 217 | 358 | 2,944 | 6,980 | 7,969 | 4,523 | 1,448 | 20,920 |
| 2017 | 1,351 | 187 | -- | 1,538 | 726 | -- | 726 | 501 | -- | 501 | -- | 228 | 213 | 441 | 3,207 | 8,056 | 7,239 | 3,636 | 1,527 | 20,458 |
| 2018 | 1,239 | 261 | -- | 1,500 | 813 | -- | 813 | 354 | -- | 354 | -- | 248 | 229 | 477 | 3,144 | 5,215 | 7,421 | 2,636 | 1,896 | 17,168 |
| 2019 | 1,739 | 265 | -- | 2,004 | 1,036 | -- | 1,036 | 307 | -- | 307 | -- | 439 | 297 | 736 | 4,083 | 4,165 | 6,365 | 2,402 | 1,353 | 14,285 |
| 2020 | 1,111 | 301 | -- | 1,413 | 1,511 | -- | 1,511 | 659 | -- | 659 | -- | 395 | 279 | 674 | 4,257 | 5,759 | 6,576 | 3,049 | 1,738 | 17,122 |
| 2021 | 2,148 | 325 | -- | 2,473 | 1,430 | -- | 1,430 | 584 | -- | 584 | -- | 258 | 183 | 441 | 4,928 | 7,279 | 6,528 | 3,168 | 1,236 | 18,212 |
| Mean | 2,806 | 639 | 102 | 3,502 | 772 | 62 | 786 | 418 | 111 | 448 | 106 | 227 | 234 | 292 | 4,978 | 8,602 | 5,694 | 4,360 | 804 | 18,585 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Ohio, Michigan, Pennsylvania and New York sport units of effort are thousands of angler hours.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Estimated Standard (Total) Effort in kilometers of gill net = (walleye targeted effort x walleye total harvest) / walleye targeted harvest.
${ }^{c}$ Ontario sport fishing effort was estimated from 2014 lakewide aerial creel survey, values are in rod hours
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Ontario sport fishing effort is not included in area and lakewide totals due to effort reporting in rod hours

Table 4. Annual catch per unit effort for Lake Erie walleye by gear, management unit, and agency. Means contain data from 1975 to 2020.

| Year | Sport Fishery ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Commercial Fishery ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unit 1 |  |  |  | Unit 2 |  |  | Unit 3 |  |  | Units 4 \& 5 |  |  |  |  | Unit 1 ON | Unit 2 ON | Unit 3 ON | Unit 4 ON | Total |
|  | OH | MI | $\mathrm{ON}^{\text {c }}$ | Total | OH | $\mathrm{ON}^{\text {c }}$ | Total | OH | $\mathrm{ON}^{\text {c }}$ | Total | $\mathrm{ON}^{\text {c }}$ | PA | NY | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 0.26 | 0.31 | -- | 0.27 | 0.30 | -- | 0.30 | 0.41 | -- | 0.41 | -- | 0.29 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 278.3 | 138.9 | 115.0 | 59.0 | 183.3 |
| 2012 | 0.46 | 0.36 | -- | 0.45 | 0.42 | -- | 0.42 | 0.51 | -- | 0.51 | -- | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.42 | 178.4 | 114.8 | 83.1 | 80.3 | 136.5 |
| 2013 | 0.53 | 0.30 | -- | 0.51 | 0.38 | -- | 0.38 | 0.58 | -- | 0.58 | -- | 0.39 | 0.24 | 0.32 | 0.47 | 194.0 | 107.0 | 74.2 | 100.7 | 132.5 |
| 2014 | 0.59 | 0.32 | 0.45 | 0.56 | 0.39 | 0.16 | 0.39 | 0.49 | 0.19 | 0.49 | 0.18 | 0.50 | 0.33 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 102.8 | 58.4 | 81.8 | 156.8 | 86.5 |
| 2015 | 0.52 | 0.40 | -- | 0.51 | 0.33 | -- | 0.33 | 0.41 | -- | 0.41 | -- | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.43 | 90.6 | 54.5 | 60.3 | 97.3 | 70.7 |
| 2016 | 0.38 | 0.28 | -- | 0.37 | 0.32 | -- | 0.32 | 0.35 | -- | 0.35 | -- | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.34 | 135.5 | 74.6 | 77.0 | 69.0 | 95.0 |
| 2017 | 0.44 | 0.30 | -- | 0.42 | 0.44 | -- | 0.44 | 0.70 | -- | 0.70 | -- | 0.71 | 0.33 | 0.53 | 0.48 | 215.3 | 126.9 | 139.6 | 76.2 | 160.2 |
| 2018 | 0.77 | 0.67 | -- | 0.75 | 0.82 | -- | 0.82 | 0.99 | -- | 0.99 | -- | 1.09 | 0.54 | 0.83 | 0.81 | 292.0 | 193.1 | 171.0 | 132.0 | 213.0 |
| 2019 | 0.75 | 0.58 | -- | 0.72 | 0.91 | -- | 0.91 | 1.02 | -- | 1.02 | -- | 0.96 | 0.59 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 399.9 | 194.4 | 161.3 | 160.1 | 245.5 |
| 2020 | 0.48 | 0.64 | -- | 0.52 | 0.60 | -- | 0.60 | 0.80 | -- | 0.80 | -- | 0.53 | 0.30 | 0.44 | 0.58 | 336.5 | 180.2 | 159.3 | 132.5 | 224.2 |
| 2021 | 0.61 | 0.55 | -- | 0.60 | 0.57 | -- | 0.57 | 0.62 | -- | 0.62 | -- | 0.56 | 0.24 | 0.43 | 0.58 | 377.7 | 210.6 | 235.0 | 140.1 | 276.9 |
| Mean | 0.49 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.47 | 0.36 | 0.26 | 0.36 | 0.43 | 0.19 | 0.42 | 0.11 | 0.39 | 0.20 | 0.28 | 0.45 | 182.55 | 93.92 | 79.29 | 78.57 | 128.1 |

[^1]Table 5. Catch at age of walleye harvest by management unit, gear, and agency in Lake Erie during 2021.
Units 4 and 5 are combined in Unit 4.

| Unit | Age | Commercial Ontario | Ohio | Michigan | Sport New York | Pennsylvania | Total | All Gear Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 74,933 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 74,933 |
|  | 2 | 679,722 | 41,732 | 5,006 |  |  | 46,738 | 726,460 |
|  | 3 | 570,679 | 349,783 | 36,149 |  |  | 385,932 | 956,611 |
|  | 4 | 161,884 | 199,221 | 30,993 |  |  | 230,214 | 392,098 |
|  | 5 | 74,922 | 200,710 | 17,351 |  |  | 218,061 | 292,983 |
|  | 6 | 1,071,257 | 300,108 | 67,886 |  |  | 367,994 | 1,439,251 |
|  | 7+ | 116,179 | 226,538 | 20,561 |  |  | 247,099 | 363,278 |
|  | Total | 2,749,576 | 1,318,092 | 177,948 | -- | -- | 1,496,040 | 4,245,616 |
| 2 |  | 1,011 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1,011 |
|  | 2 | 236,117 | 30,445 |  |  |  | 30,445 | 266,562 |
|  | 3 | 406,787 | 287,569 |  |  |  | 287,569 | 694,356 |
|  |  | 93,979 | 120,489 |  |  |  | 120,489 | 214,468 |
|  | 5 | 29,361 | 140,827 |  |  |  | 140,827 | 170,188 |
|  | 6 | 576,279 | 176,001 |  |  |  | 176,001 | 752,280 |
|  | 7+ | 31,209 | 55,094 |  |  |  | 55,094 | 86,303 |
|  | Total | 1,374,743 | 810,425 | -- | -- | -- | 810,425 | 2,185,168 |
| 3 |  | 49,515 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 49,515 |
|  | 2 | 385,760 | 11,498 |  |  |  | 11,498 | 397,258 |
|  | 3 | 117,229 | 98,487 |  |  |  | 98,487 | 215,716 |
|  | 4 | 21,731 | 63,164 |  |  |  | 63,164 | 84,895 |
|  | 5 | 3,529 | 90,371 |  |  |  | 90,371 | 93,900 |
|  | 6 | 152,093 | 72,087 |  |  |  | 72,087 | 224,180 |
|  | 7+ | 14,876 | 28,261 |  |  |  | 28,261 | 43,137 |
|  | Total | 744,733 | 363,868 | -- | -- | -- | 363,868 | 1,108,601 |
| 4 |  | 22,529 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 22,529 |
|  | 2 | 71,422 |  |  | 0 | 3,228 | 3,228 | 74,650 |
|  | 3 | 28,587 |  |  | 7,490 | 54,876 | 62,367 | 90,954 |
|  | 4 | 3,322 |  |  | 7,239 | 20,982 | 28,222 | 31,544 |
|  | 5 | 3,590 |  |  | 12,528 | 16,140 | 28,668 | 32,258 |
|  | 6 | 33,026 |  |  | 9,200 | 45,192 | 54,392 | 87,418 |
|  | 7+ | 10,716 |  |  | 7,315 | 4,842 | 12,157 | 22,873 |
|  | Total | 173,192 | -- | -- | 43,772 | 145,261 | 189,033 | 362,225 |
| All | 1 | 147,988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 147,988 |
|  | 2 | 1,373,021 | 83,675 | 5,006 | 0 | 3,228 | 91,909 | 1,464,930 |
|  | 3 | 1,123,282 | 735,839 | 36,149 | 7,490 | 54,876 | 834,355 | 1,957,637 |
|  | 4 | 280,916 | 382,874 | 30,993 | 7,239 | 20,982 | 442,089 | 723,005 |
|  | 5 | 111,402 | 431,908 | 17,351 | 12,528 | 16,140 | 477,927 | 589,329 |
|  | 6 | 1,832,655 | 548,196 | 67,886 | 9,200 | 45,192 | 670,474 | 2,503,129 |
|  | 7+ | 172,980 | 309,893 | 20,561 | 7,315 | 4,842 | 342,611 | 515,591 |
|  | Total | 5,042,244 | 2,492,385 | 177,948 | 43,772 | 145,261 | 2,859,366 | 7,901,610 |

Table 6. Age composition (in percent) of walleye harvest by management unit, gear, and agency in Lake Erie during 2021. Units 4 and 5 are combined in Unit 4.

| Unit | Age | Commercial Ontario | Ohio | Michigan | Sport New York | Pennsylvania | Total | All Gears Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -- | -- | 0.0 | 1.8 |
|  | 2 | 24.7 | 3.2 | 2.8 | -- | -- | 3.1 | 17.1 |
|  | 3 | 20.8 | 26.5 | 20.3 | -- | -- | 25.8 | 22.5 |
|  | 4 | 5.9 | 15.1 | 17.4 | -- | -- | 15.4 | 9.2 |
|  | 5 | 2.7 | 15.2 | 9.8 | -- | -- | 14.6 | 6.9 |
|  | 6 | 39.0 | 22.8 | 38.1 | -- | -- | 24.6 | 33.9 |
|  | $7+$ | 4.2 | 17.2 | 11.6 | -- | -- | 16.5 | 8.6 |
|  | Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -- | -- | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2 |  | 0.1 | 0.0 | -- | -- | -- | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 17.2 | 3.8 | -- | -- | -- | 3.8 | 12.2 |
|  | 3 | 29.6 | 35.5 | -- | -- | -- | 35.5 | 31.8 |
|  | 4 | 6.8 | 14.9 | -- | -- | -- | 14.9 | 9.8 |
|  | 5 | 2.1 | 17.4 | -- | -- | -- | 17.4 | 7.8 |
|  |  | 41.9 | 21.7 | -- | -- | -- | 21.7 | 34.4 |
|  | $7+$ | 2.3 | 6.8 | -- | -- | -- | 6.8 | 3.9 |
|  | Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | -- | -- | -- | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 3 |  | 6.6 | 0.0 | -- | -- | -- | 0.0 | 4.5 |
|  | 2 | 51.8 | 3.2 | -- | -- | -- | 3.2 |  |
|  | 3 | 15.7 | 27.1 | -- | -- | -- | 27.1 | 19.5 |
|  | 4 | 2.9 | 17.4 | -- | -- | -- | 17.4 | 7.7 |
|  | 5 | 0.5 | 24.8 | -- | -- | -- | 24.8 | 8.5 |
|  |  | 20.4 | 19.8 | -- | -- | -- | 19.8 | 20.2 |
|  | $7+$ | 2.0 | 7.8 | -- | -- | -- | 7.8 | 3.9 |
|  | Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | -- | -- | -- | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 4 |  | 13.0 | -- | -- | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.2 |
|  | 2 | 41.2 | -- | -- | 0.0 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 20.6 |
|  | 3 | 16.5 | -- | -- | 17.1 | 37.8 | 33.0 | 25.1 |
|  | 4 | 1.9 | -- | -- | 16.5 | 14.4 | 14.9 | 8.7 |
|  | 5 | 2.1 | -- | -- | 28.6 | 11.1 | 15.2 | 8.9 |
|  | 6 | 19.1 | -- | -- | 21.0 | 31.1 | 28.8 | 24.1 |
|  | $7+$ | 6.2 | -- | -- | 16.7 | 3.3 | 6.4 | 6.3 |
|  | Total | 100.0 | -- | -- | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| All |  | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 |
|  | 2 | 27.2 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 18.5 |
|  | 3 | 22.3 | 29.5 | 20.3 | 17.1 | 37.8 | 29.2 | 24.8 |
|  | 4 | 5.6 | 15.4 | 17.4 | 16.5 | 14.4 | 15.5 | 9.2 |
|  | 5 | 2.2 | 17.3 | 9.8 | 28.6 | 11.1 | 16.7 | 7.5 |
|  | 6 | 36.3 | 22.0 | 38.1 | 21.0 | 31.1 | 23.4 | 31.7 |
|  | 7+ | 3.4 | 12.4 | 11.6 | 16.7 | 3.3 | 12.0 | 6.5 |
|  | Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 7. Annual mean age (years) of Lake Erie walleye by gear, management unit, and agency from 2011 to 2021. Means include data from 1975 to 2020.

| Year | Sport Fishery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Commercial Fishery |  |  |  |  | All Gears <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unit 1 |  |  |  | Unit 2 |  |  | Unit 3 |  |  | Units 4 \& 5 |  |  |  |  | Unit 1 Unit 2 Unit 3 Unit 4 |  |  |  | Total |  |
|  | OH | MI | ON | Total | OH | ON | Total | OH | ON | Total | ON | PA | NY | Total |  | ON | ON | ON | ON |  |  |
| 2011 | 5.98 | 4.35 | -- | 5.68 | 7.79 | -- | 7.79 | 8.03 | -- | 8.03 | -- | 8.40 | 7.76 | 8.13 | 6.74 | 4.86 | 5.26 | 6.73 | 8.33 | 5.31 | 5.78 |
| 2012 | 4.97 | 4.46 | -- | 4.91 | 5.78 | -- | 5.78 | 8.13 | -- | 8.13 | -- | 8.92 | 7.65 | 8.35 | 5.60 | 4.86 | 5.33 | 7.15 | 7.25 | 5.34 | 5.47 |
| 2013 | 5.16 | 4.26 | -- | 5.10 | 6.91 | -- | 6.91 | 8.09 | -- | 8.09 | -- | 8.79 | 8.13 | 8.55 | 5.95 | 4.91 | 4.64 | 7.09 | 7.36 | 5.24 | 5.60 |
| 2014 | 5.79 | 6.05 | -- | 5.80 | 7.13 | -- | 7.13 | 8.30 | -- | 8.30 | -- | 8.29 | 8.00 | 8.17 | 6.57 | 5.26 | 5.80 | 8.29 | 8.35 | 6.02 | 6.31 |
| 2015 | 6.23 | 5.85 | -- | 6.20 | 6.88 | -- | 6.88 | 8.73 | -- | 8.73 | -- | 7.43 | 8.29 | 7.89 | 6.74 | 4.57 | 6.30 | 8.58 | 8.08 | 6.14 | 6.42 |
| 2016 | 5.17 | 4.98 | -- | 5.15 | 5.46 | -- | 5.46 | 6.91 | -- | 6.91 | -- | 7.48 | 8.06 | 7.83 | 5.68 | 3.25 | 4.07 | 4.97 | 8.69 | 4.07 | 4.61 |
| 2017 | 4.54 | 4.39 | -- | 4.52 | 3.52 | -- | 3.52 | 3.67 | -- | 3.67 | -- | 4.17 | 5.68 | 4.63 | 4.14 | 2.90 | 2.65 | 2.86 | 5.86 | 2.93 | 3.32 |
| 2018 | 3.91 | 3.73 | -- | 3.88 | 3.56 | -- | 3.56 | 3.95 | -- | 3.95 | -- | 4.09 | 4.92 | 4.35 | 3.88 | 3.25 | 3.18 | 3.18 | 4.19 | 3.28 | 3.53 |
| 2019 | 4.36 | 4.12 | -- | 4.33 | 4.37 | -- | 4.37 | 4.53 | -- | 4.53 | -- | 4.70 | 5.10 | 4.82 | 4.45 | 3.82 | 3.99 | 3.86 | 4.29 | 3.91 | 4.17 |
| 2020 | NA | NA | -- | -- | NA | -- | -- | NA | -- | -- | -- | 4.95 | 6.05 | 5.27 | NA | 3.83 | 4.11 | 4.12 | 3.63 | 3.94 | NA |
| 2021 | 5.05 | 5.16 | -- | 5.06 | 4.54 | -- | 4.54 | 4.65 | -- | 4.65 | -- | 4.589 | 5.99 | 4.91 | 4.85 | 4.21 | 4.32 | 3.11 | 3.38 | 4.05 | 4.34 |
| Mean | 4.21 | 3.89 | 3.66 | 4.16 | 4.49 | 6.58 | 4.50 | 5.48 | 6.72 | 5.50 | 8.07 | 6.57 | 7.32 | 6.88 | 4.44 | 3.60 | 3.85 | 4.89 | 6.67 | 3.83 | 4.08 |

Table 8. Estimated abundance at age, survival (S), fishing mortality (F) and exploitation (u) for Lake Erie walleye, 1986-2022 (from ADMB 2022 catch at age analysis recruitment integrated model, $\mathrm{M}=0.32$ ).

| Year | Age |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Ages 2+ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7+ |  | S | F | u |
| 1978 | 1,566,890 | 5,211,160 | 1,854,020 | 153,122 | 138,700 | 202,404 | 9,126,296 | 0.578 | 0.228 | 0.176 |
| 1979 | 14,946,200 | 1,002,990 | 2,980,070 | 1,025,920 | 83,847 | 181,997 | 20,221,024 | 0.587 | 0.213 | 0.165 |
| 1980 | 10,846,000 | 9,185,780 | 530,073 | 1,509,790 | 513,228 | 127,712 | 22,712,583 | 0.598 | 0.194 | 0.152 |
| 1981 | 7,421,060 | 6,921,380 | 5,194,190 | 290,917 | 822,763 | 349,586 | 20,999,896 | 0.563 | 0.255 | 0.194 |
| 1982 | 18,194,700 | 4,623,110 | 3,740,540 | 2,706,840 | 150,202 | 601,568 | 30,016,960 | 0.608 | 0.178 | 0.140 |
| 1983 | 10,342,000 | 11,660,800 | 2,625,250 | 2,069,590 | 1,491,500 | 402,386 | 28,591,526 | 0.624 | 0.152 | 0.121 |
| 1984 | 79,796,700 | 6,865,650 | 7,038,830 | 1,564,490 | 1,236,530 | 1,134,170 | 97,636,370 | 0.666 | 0.086 | 0.071 |
| 1985 | 6,352,250 | 53,934,200 | 4,309,880 | 4,366,820 | 971,191 | 1,465,010 | 71,399,351 | 0.653 | 0.107 | 0.087 |
| 1986 | 24,589,000 | 4,366,250 | 35,091,000 | 2,775,660 | 2,810,510 | 1,557,120 | 71,189,540 | 0.638 | 0.130 | 0.105 |
| 1987 | 23,773,900 | 16,568,300 | 2,732,360 | 21,666,600 | 1,720,620 | 2,704,910 | 69,166,690 | 0.642 | 0.123 | 0.100 |
| 1988 | 56,066,500 | 16,044,400 | 10,418,400 | 1,694,330 | 13,498,000 | 2,739,920 | 100,461,550 | 0.640 | 0.127 | 0.102 |
| 1989 | 11,601,500 | 37,271,100 | 9,808,660 | 6,262,680 | 1,028,350 | 9,899,510 | 75,871,800 | 0.635 | 0.134 | 0.108 |
| 1990 | 10,154,900 | 7,839,890 | 23,487,900 | 6,104,050 | 3,932,650 | 6,813,750 | 58,333,140 | 0.642 | 0.123 | 0.099 |
| 1991 | 5,203,440 | 6,915,630 | 4,992,660 | 14,830,800 | 3,884,740 | 6,831,190 | 42,658,460 | 0.652 | 0.108 | 0.087 |
| 1992 | 16,640,400 | 3,579,830 | 4,482,550 | 3,217,700 | 9,606,100 | 6,932,450 | 44,459,030 | 0.647 | 0.115 | 0.094 |
| 1993 | 22,296,000 | 11,282,600 | 2,251,450 | 2,798,510 | 2,023,240 | 10,410,400 | 51,062,200 | 0.623 | 0.154 | 0.122 |
| 1994 | 3,586,810 | 14,725,700 | 6,690,370 | 1,324,780 | 1,665,130 | 7,390,540 | 35,383,330 | 0.611 | 0.172 | 0.136 |
| 1995 | 18,502,500 | 2,391,740 | 8,890,670 | 4,019,000 | 805,845 | 5,524,680 | 40,134,435 | 0.618 | 0.161 | 0.128 |
| 1996 | 21,061,500 | 12,150,500 | 1,390,890 | 5,152,220 | 2,363,110 | 3,741,750 | 45,859,970 | 0.595 | 0.199 | 0.155 |
| 1997 | 2,418,290 | 13,503,800 | 6,711,700 | 764,353 | 2,882,780 | 3,437,870 | 29,718,793 | 0.584 | 0.217 | 0.168 |
| 1998 | 21,929,200 | 1,580,920 | 7,790,540 | 3,852,980 | 445,167 | 3,699,160 | 39,297,967 | 0.598 | 0.194 | 0.152 |
| 1999 | 10,712,500 | 13,980,100 | 861,088 | 4,224,280 | 2,129,290 | 2,306,260 | 34,213,518 | 0.612 | 0.171 | 0.135 |
| 2000 | 9,918,870 | 7,066,640 | 8,212,510 | 504,222 | 2,510,860 | 2,652,550 | 30,865,652 | 0.624 | 0.152 | 0.121 |
| 2001 | 30,904,000 | 6,611,560 | 4,248,440 | 4,924,100 | 306,795 | 3,161,320 | 50,156,215 | 0.676 | 0.072 | 0.059 |
| 2002 | 3,577,840 | 21,340,100 | 4,315,140 | 2,760,090 | 3,217,340 | 2,262,430 | 37,472,940 | 0.675 | 0.073 | 0.061 |
| 2003 | 24,500,000 | 2,504,100 | 14,337,700 | 2,889,440 | 1,858,050 | 3,694,260 | 49,783,550 | 0.684 | 0.060 | 0.050 |
| 2004 | 358,961 | 17,133,900 | 1,679,630 | 9,580,850 | 1,938,140 | 3,721,860 | 34,413,341 | 0.682 | 0.063 | 0.052 |
| 2005 | 103,990,000 | 255,718 | 11,679,300 | 1,141,040 | 6,529,620 | 3,855,110 | 127,450,788 | 0.701 | 0.036 | 0.030 |
| 2006 | 3,425,890 | 73,500,100 | 171,872 | 7,838,900 | 769,660 | 7,018,620 | 92,725,042 | 0.673 | 0.076 | 0.063 |
| 2007 | 6,992,610 | 2,426,920 | 49,353,200 | 115,016 | 5,268,490 | 5,229,170 | 69,385,406 | 0.674 | 0.075 | 0.062 |
| 2008 | 1,869,920 | 4,965,520 | 1,632,230 | 33,030,900 | 77,181 | 7,038,310 | 48,614,061 | 0.679 | 0.067 | 0.056 |
| 2009 | 17,833,900 | 1,327,730 | 3,362,290 | 1,102,180 | 22,393,900 | 4,820,280 | 50,840,280 | 0.692 | 0.048 | 0.040 |
| 2010 | 6,519,870 | 12,695,600 | 903,869 | 2,281,490 | 750,225 | 18,552,600 | 41,703,654 | 0.688 | 0.053 | 0.044 |
| 2011 | 6,600,110 | 4,656,350 | 8,706,290 | 617,571 | 1,561,880 | 13,170,000 | 35,312,201 | 0.689 | 0.053 | 0.044 |
| 2012 | 11,031,200 | 4,694,590 | 3,180,590 | 5,937,410 | 422,803 | 10,092,900 | 35,359,493 | 0.673 | 0.076 | 0.063 |
| 2013 | 8,219,010 | 7,761,260 | 3,092,530 | 2,087,590 | 3,918,300 | 6,933,570 | 32,012,260 | 0.667 | 0.085 | 0.070 |
| 2014 | 4,035,110 | 5,786,210 | 5,090,940 | 2,017,520 | 1,367,280 | 7,095,730 | 25,392,790 | 0.641 | 0.125 | 0.101 |
| 2015 | 6,068,160 | 2,808,200 | 3,663,020 | 3,200,900 | 1,275,010 | 5,325,850 | 22,341,140 | 0.641 | 0.124 | 0.100 |
| 2016 | 21,136,500 | 4,201,030 | 1,752,780 | 2,270,790 | 1,997,390 | 4,107,150 | 35,465,640 | 0.669 | 0.082 | 0.068 |
| 2017 | 80,875,400 | 14,684,500 | 2,653,170 | 1,100,250 | 1,434,810 | 3,853,650 | 104,601,780 | 0.686 | 0.056 | 0.047 |
| 2018 | 7,638,520 | 56,534,600 | 9,454,390 | 1,699,090 | 708,222 | 3,398,430 | 79,433,252 | 0.663 | 0.091 | 0.075 |
| 2019 | 9,953,540 | 5,380,000 | 37,254,700 | 6,202,730 | 1,119,740 | 2,701,570 | 62,612,280 | 0.658 | 0.098 | 0.080 |
| 2020 | 25,856,000 | 6,999,240 | 3,511,790 | 24,173,500 | 4,040,800 | 2,482,900 | 67,064,230 | 0.662 | 0.093 | 0.076 |
| 2021 | 37,632,700 | 18,027,200 | 4,471,800 | 2,231,450 | 15,458,400 | 4,178,490 | 82,000,040 | 0.654 | 0.104 | 0.085 |
| 2022 | 20,783,000 | 26,022,000 | 11,213,100 | 2,762,570 | 1,388,540 | 12,259,900 | 74,429,110 |  |  |  |

Table 9. Estimated harvest of Lake Erie walleye for 2022, and population projection for 2023 when fishing with $60 \%$ Fmsy. The 2022 and 2023 projected spawning stock biomass values are from the ADMB-2022 recruitment-integrated model. The range in the RAH was calculated using $\pm$ one standard deviation from the mean RAH.


Table 10. Western basin age 0 walleye recruitment index observed in bottom trawls by the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources (ONT) and Ohio Department of Natural Resources (OH) between 2000 and 2021.

| Year Class | Year of <br> Recruitment to <br> Fisheries | OH+ONT Trawl <br> Age-O CPHa |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 2000 | 2002 | 4.113 |
| 2001 | 2003 | 28.499 |
| 2002 | 2004 | 0.139 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 183.015 |
| 2004 | 2006 | 5.402 |
| 2005 | 2007 | 12.665 |
| 2006 | 2008 | 2.051 |
| 2007 | 2009 | 25.408 |
| 2008 | 2010 | 7.238 |
| 2009 | 2011 | 7.107 |
| 2010 | 2012 | 26.260 |
| 2011 | 2013 | 6.502 |
| 2012 | 2014 | 6.417 |
| 2013 | 2015 | 10.584 |
| 2014 | 2016 | 29.050 |
| 2015 | 2017 | 84.105 |
| 2016 | 2018 | 9.224 |
| 2017 | 2019 | 22.852 |
| 2018 | 2020 | 255.581 |
| 2019 | 2021 | 225.310 |
| 2020 | 2022 | 97.480 |
| 2021 | 2023 | 345.599 |



Figure 1. Map of Lake Erie with management units (MU) recognized by the Walleye Task Group for interagency management of Walleye.


Figure 2. Lake-wide harvest of Lake Erie Walleye by sport and commercial fisheries during 1977-2021.


Figure 3. Lake-wide total effort (angler hours) by sport fisheries for Lake Erie Walleye during 1977-2021.


Figure 4. Lake-wide total effort (thousand kilometers of gill net) by commercial fisheries for Lake Erie Walleye during 1977-2021.


Year
Figure 5. Lake-wide harvest per unit effort (HPE) for Lake Erie sport and commercial Walleye fisheries during 1977-2021.


Figure 6. Lake-wide mean age of Lake Erie Walleye in sport and commercial harvests during 1977-2021.


Figure 7. Abundance at age for age-2 and older Walleye in Lake Erie's west and central basins during 19782021 and the 2022 projection, estimated from the ADMB model. Data shown are from Table 8.


Figure 8. Relative abundance of yearling Walleye captured in bottom-set (Panel A) and suspended or kegged (canned) multifilament (Panel B) gillnets from Michigan, and monofilament gillnets from Ohio, New York, and Ontario waters in 2021. Catches have been adjusted to reflect panel length (standardized to 50 ft panels) and differences in the presence of large mesh ( $>5.5$ " excluded).


Figure 9. Annual mean total length of age 0 and 1 Walleye in Ohio and Ontario waters of western Lake Erie 1987-2021. Means across years (1987-2021) are presented as dashed lines for each age group.


Figure 10. Mean lengths of age 1 through age 4 Walleye sampled in Ohio and Ontario waters of Lake Erie during 1987-2021. Data shown for the TAC area (i.e., MUs 1-3).


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Ontario sport harvest values were estimated from the 2014 lakewide aerial creel survey

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Ohio, Michigan, Pennsylvania and New York sport CPE = Number/angler hour
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Commercial CPE $=$ Number/kilometer of gill net
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Ontario sport fishing CPE was estimated from the 2014 lakewide aerial creel survey values are in number/rod hour
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Ontario sport fishing CPE is not included in area and lakewide totals due to effort reporting in rod hours

